



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,099	04/01/2005	Patrice Bujard	SE/2-22794/A/PCT	2615	
324	7590 06/26/2007	EXAMINER			
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT			ABU ALI, SHUANGYI		
540 WHITE PLAINS RD P O BOX 2005 ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER			
	N, NY 10591-9005	1755			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			L		
			06/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/530,099	BUJARD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shuangyi Abu-Ali	1755		

	Shuangyi Abu-Ali	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	huit major to the plate of filing a brief	will not be entered by	2001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	_fs	0	
	SUPERVISORY D	RENGO ATENT EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20070621

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants' amendment which calls for specific metals to be used, raises new issues that requires further search and consideration.

Phillips et al. disclose, "Color shifting pigments or foils are formed from a multilayer thin film structure that includes the same basic layers. These include an absorber layer(s), a dielectric layer(s), and optionally a reflector layer, in varying Layer orders" (col. 1, line 65 – col. 2, line 1 and Figure 9). "One or more thin film layers including at least the reflector layer is deposited on a web to form a film, which is

Subsequently fractured and removed from the web to form a plurality of pigment

Preflakes. The preflakes can be fragmented further by grinding if desired. The preflake are then coated with the remaining layer or layers" (col. 6, lines 7-12). "The pigment flakes can be further fragmented if desired by, for example, grinding the flakes to a desired size using an air grind, such that each of the pigment flakes has a dimension on any surface thereof ranging from about 2 microns to about 200 microns." (col. 9, lines 45-50). "Generally, the pigment flakes can have an asymmetrical coating structure with all of the layers on one side of the reflector layer" (col. 5, lines 41-45). Therefore Figure 9 can be treated as the structure figure of a pigment.

Phillips et al. disclose "silicon monoxide and silicon dioxide have nominal

1:1 and 1:2 silicon:oxygen ratios, respectively, but the actual silicon:oxygen

ratio of a particular dielectric coating layer varies somewhat from these

nominal values. Such non-stoichiometric dielectric materials are also within

the scope of the present invention." (Col. 7, lines 59-63). Like applicant argues that non-stochiometric silicon oxide is semi-transparent or transparent.

Applicants argue that a coated reflective layer is not part of the instant invention; the examiner respectfully submits that the claims in the instant application are open range claims.